

From: Ania Lewkowicz
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/11/02 4:18pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement.

To:
Renata B. Hesse
Antitrust Division
U.S. Department of Justice

I was very saddened by the Microsoft settlement and how it seemed that all the evidence in the world could not break through that steel wall of a monopoly. I cringe to liken this case to the O.J. Simpson trial, where the evidence was overwhelmingly in favor of his guilt, yet it seems as though the jury was somehow "jaded" to believe his story when the rest of the world thought of it as an open and shut case of spousal murder. In a way, this MS case is no different. How is it possible to see the plethora of proven instances of monopolistic behavior, yet to let them go with no more than a slap on the hand? I truly believe that Microsoft got off VERY easy, and as a consumer, I am frustrated by my inability to determine for myself the design and configuration of the initial screens displayed on the PC's being sold today. My choice is limited to either Windows or virtually nothing. And if that's not a monopoly, then I'm interested to hear another definition explaining what exactly that is.

Sincerely,

Ania Lewkowicz

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>.